

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| NOBELBIZ, INC. | § | |
| | § | Case No. 6:12-cv-244-RWS (LEAD CASE) |
| v. | § | |
| | § | Case No. 6:13-cv-804 |
| GLOBAL CONNECT, L.L.C. | § | (Consolidated with 6:12-cv-244) |
| | § | |
| NOBELBIZ, INC. | § | |
| | § | Case No. 6:12-cv-247-RWS (LEAD CASE) |
| v. | § | |
| | § | Case No. 6:13-cv-805 |
| T C N, INC. | § | (Consolidated with 6:12-cv-247) |
| | § | |

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge. As you proceed through this verdict form follow the directions that have been provided to you in italics.

QUESTION NO. 1

1A. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Global Connect infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Literal Infringement? | Doctrine of Equivalents? |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Claim 1 | | YES |
| Claim 6 | | YES |
| Claim 9 | | YES |
| Claim 16 | | YES |
| Claim 24 | YES | |

1B. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Global Connect infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Literal Infringement? | Doctrine of Equivalents? |
|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Claim 1 | | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES | |
| Claim 4 | YES | |
| Claim 6 | | YES |
| Claim 7 | | YES |

1C. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that TCN infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Literal Infringement? | Doctrine of Equivalents? |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Claim 1 | | YES |
| Claim 5 | YES | |
| Claim 6 | | YES |
| Claim 10 | YES | |
| Claim 24 | YES | |

1D. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that TCN infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Literal Infringement? | Doctrine of Equivalents? |
|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Claim 1 | | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES | |
| Claim 4 | YES | |
| Claim 5 | YES | |
| Claim 6 | | YES |

QUESTION NO. 2

2A. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Global Connect indirectly infringed (either by inducement or contributorily) any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | |
|----------|-----|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 9 | YES |
| Claim 16 | YES |
| Claim 24 | YES |

2B. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Global Connect indirectly infringed (either by inducement or contributorily) any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | |
|---------|-----|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES |
| Claim 4 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 7 | YES |

2C. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that TCN indirectly infringed (either by inducement or contributorily) any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | |
|----------|-----|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 5 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 10 | YES |
| Claim 24 | YES |

2D. Has NobelBiz proven by a preponderance of the evidence that TCN indirectly infringed (either by inducement or contributorily) any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | |
|---------|-----|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES |
| Claim 4 | YES |
| Claim 5 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |

QUESTION NO. 3

*If you answered "Yes" in Question 1A or 2A above, then answer Question 3A;
 If you answered "Yes" in Question 1B or 2B above, then answer Question 3B;
 If you answered "Yes" in Question 1C or 2C above, then answer Question 3C;
 If you answered "Yes" in Question 1D or 2D above, then answer Question 3D;
 If you answered "No" to all of the sub-parts of the Questions above, skip Question 3
 and proceed to Question 4:*

3A. Has NobelBiz proven by clear and convincing evidence that Global Connect's infringement was willful as to U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Willful Infringement? |
|----------|-----------------------|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 9 | YES |
| Claim 16 | YES |
| Claim 24 | YES |

3B. Has NobelBiz proven by clear and convincing evidence that Global Connect's infringement was willful as to U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Willful Infringement? |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES |
| Claim 4 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 7 | YES |

3C. Has NobelBiz proven by clear and convincing evidence that TCN's infringement was willful as to U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Willful Infringement? |
|----------|-----------------------|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 5 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |
| Claim 10 | YES |
| Claim 24 | YES |

3D. Has NobelBiz proven by clear and convincing evidence that TCN's infringement was willful as to U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

Answer "Yes" or "No" on each line.

| | Willful Infringement? |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Claim 1 | YES |
| Claim 2 | YES |
| Claim 4 | YES |
| Claim 5 | YES |
| Claim 6 | YES |

QUESTION NO. 4

4A. Have Defendants proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122 is invalid?

If you find the claim invalid, answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No." Please answer for all claims.

| | |
|----------|----|
| Claim 1 | NO |
| Claim 5 | NO |
| Claim 6 | NO |
| Claim 9 | NO |
| Claim 10 | NO |
| Claim 16 | NO |
| Claim 24 | NO |

If you answer "Yes" to all claims in Question 4A, do not answer Questions 5A and 5C below.

4B. Have Defendants proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399 is invalid?

If you find the claim invalid, answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No." Please answer for all claims.

| | |
|---------|----|
| Claim 1 | NO |
| Claim 2 | NO |
| Claim 4 | NO |
| Claim 5 | NO |
| Claim 6 | NO |
| Claim 7 | NO |

If you answer "Yes" to all claims in Question 4B, do not answer Questions 5B and 5D below.

QUESTION NO. 5:

*If you answered "Yes" in Question 1A or 2A above, then answer Question 5A;
If you answered "Yes" in Question 1B or 2B above, then answer Question 5B;
If you answered "Yes" in Question 1C or 2C above, then answer Question 5C;
If you answered "Yes" in Question 1D or 2D above, then answer Question 5D.*

5A. What amount is NobelBiz entitled to recover for Global Connect's infringement of
U.S. Patent No. 8,135,122?

\$ ~~169,024.03~~ ^{JN} 566,395.08

5B. What amount is NobelBiz entitled to recover for Global Connect's infringement of
U.S. Patent No. 8,565,399?

\$ ~~169,024.03~~ ^{JN} 566,395.08

5C. What amount is NobelBiz entitled to recover for TCN's infringement of U.S. Patent
No. 8,135,122?

\$ 169,024.03

5D. What amount is NobelBiz entitled to recover for TCN's infringement of U.S. Patent
No. 8,565,399?

\$ 169,024.03

The Foreperson must sign and date this verdict form:

9/16/15
DATE

James Nickell
FOREPERSON